

netaddress by LANET

>	Delete	Reply	Reply All	Forward	as Attachment
Move To:		Trash			

Date: Sun, 18 Jul 2004 11:21:11 AM EDT

From: Ralph Leonard <ralph.leonard@usa.net>

[Add to Address Book](#)

[Block Sender](#)

[Allow Sender](#)

NEW

To: "Bleck, Carolyn" <Carolyn.Bleck@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: RE: 10/054,504 [Allow Subject](#) NEW

[More Details](#)

[Print Preview](#)

Hi Ms. Bleck,

Please let me know what address I should send the formal status inquiry to, and is this a special form or will a letter I write suffice? Also, if it is not going to you please let me know to whom I should address it.

Thanks,
Ralph

"Bleck, Carolyn" <Carolyn.Bleck@USPTO.GOV> wrote:

> Dear Ralph -
 > Please give me a call and I can explain to you what you need to do. I can write a formal written interview summary after speaking with you which will be entered in the case. I would prefer to communicate over the phone rather than in writing. If you want to communicate in writing, please send your questions in a formal status inquiry to the USPTO. If it is easier, I can give you a call when it is convenient for you.
 > Thank you,
 > Carolyn Bleck
 >
 > -----Original Message-----
 > From: Ralph Leonard [mailto:ralph.leonard@usa.net]
 > Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 2:30 PM
 > To: Bleck, Carolyn
 > Subject: RE: 10/054,504
 >
 >
 > Hi Carolyn,
 >
 > Thanks for the note. I understand and respect USPTO's policy so formal surface mail would be fine. My address is:
 >
 > Ralph Leonard, MD, MPH
 > P.O. Box 26516
 > St. Louis Park, MN 55426
 >
 > At this point I am less interested in what the patent's merits may be upon preliminary review and far more interested to be assured that I am not the victim of discrimination because I have not hired a lawyer, although I may be naive, it seems very implausible that wealthy high-tech businesses also have to wait 5 years to get their proposals reviewed by the USPTO given that even 1 year seems a long time in software and technology fields, like the one my

> patent is in.
>
> In your formal correspondence to me please include reference to specific forms
> to expedite this that you alluded to earlier.
>
> thanks,
> Ralph
>
> "Bleck, Carolyn" <Carolyn.Bleck@USPTO.GOV> wrote:
>
>> Hi Ralph -
>> I am not allowed to communicate via email about the merits of the case.
I
> would appreciate if we could communicate via telephone. It is USPTO policy to
> not use email as a form of communication.
>> Thanks,
>> Carolyn
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Ralph Leonard [mailto:ralph.leonard@usa.net]
>> Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 8:51 PM
>> To: Bleck, Carolyn
>> Subject: RE: 10/054,504
>>
>>
>> Hi Ms. Bleck,
>>
>> It's my personal policy to document all communications in writing, either
> by
>> email or postal service. I look forward to your answer to my question as to
> 1)
>> what petition I can file (exact name please) and 2) if hiring a lawyer
> would
>> expedite the time until review.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Ralph
>>
>> "Bleck, Carolyn" <Carolyn.Bleck@USPTO.GOV> wrote:
>>
>>> Hi Ralph -
>>> Please give me a call if you would like to discuss the application
> further.
>> 703-305-3981.
>>> Thanks,
>>> Carolyn Bleck
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Ralph Leonard [mailto:ralph.leonard@usa.net]
>>> Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 10:17 AM
>>> To: Bleck, Carolyn
>>> Subject: RE: 10/054,504
>>>
>>>
>>> Hi Carolyn,
>>>
>>> I'm surprised by your answer since I filed two patents after that one
and
>>> those have made far more progress. Do all applicants endure this same
>> degree
>>> of delay, including those from major technology companies (it seems
that
>>> technology changes so fast that a turn around of 6 years would not be
>> viable)

[illegible]

> as Attachment
 Trash

© 2004 USA.NET®, Inc. All Rights Reserved. U.S. Patent # 5,937,161.
Users of this site agree to be bound by Net@address Terms and Conditions.



PATENT APPLICATION INFORMATION RETRIEVAL



Search results for application number: 10/054,504			
Application Number:	10/054,504	Customer Number:	-
Filing or 371(c) Date:	01-22-2002	Status:	Docketed New Case - Ready for Examination
Application Type:	Utility	Status Date:	09-06-2002
Examiner Name:	BLECK, CAROLYN M	Location:	ELECTRONIC
Group Art Unit:	3626	Location Date:	-
Confirmation Number:	4759	Earliest Publication No:	US 2003-0171657 A1
Attorney Docket Number:	-	Earliest Publication Date:	09-11-2003
Class/ Sub-Class:	705/002	Patent Number:	-
First Named Inventor:	Ralph Leonard, New Haven, CT (US)	Issue Date of Patent:	-
Title Of Invention:	Selection of optimal medication methodology (SOOMM)		

Search Options

Published Documents

File History	
Date	Contents Description
02-13-2003	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
09-06-2002	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
06-18-2002	Application Dispatched from OIPE
05-28-2002	Application Is Now Complete
04-23-2002	Additional Application Filing Fees
04-23-2002	Applicant has submitted a new specification to correct Corrected Papers problems
03-19-2002	Corrected Paper
03-08-2002	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review
02-05-2002	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review
01-22-2002	Initial Exam Team nn